This article was downloaded by: On: 19 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

# International Journal of Polymeric Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713647664>

## Quantum-Chemical Calculation of Reactive Fuels as H-Acids

V. A. Babkinª; R. G. Fedunovª; O. A. Ponomarevª; Yu. A. Sangalovª; E. Yu. Sangalovª; K. S. Minskerª; S. K. Minskerª; G. E. Zaikov<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Bashkirian State University, Ufa <sup>b</sup> N. M. Eanuel Institute of Biochemical Physics, Moscow

To cite this Article Babkin, V. A. , Fedunov, R. G. , Ponomarev, O. A. , Sangalov, Yu. A. , Sangalov, E. Yu. , Minsker, K. S. , Minsker, S. K. and Zaikov, G. E.(2000) 'Quantum-Chemical Calculation of Reactive Fuels as H-Acids', International Journal of Polymeric Materials, 46: 1, 131 — 139

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00914030008054847

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00914030008054847>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

**Itirrm.** *J. Polymerrc Marer.,* **2000,** Vol. **46, pp. 131** ~ **119 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license** only

# Quantum-Chemical Calculation of Reactive Fuels as H-Acids

V. A. BABKIN<sup>a</sup>, R. G. FEDUNOV<sup>a</sup>, O. A. PONOMAREV<sup>a</sup>, YU. A. SANGALOV<sup>a</sup>, E. YU. SANGALOV<sup>a</sup>, K. S. MINSKER<sup>a</sup>, S. K. MINSKER  $^a$  and G. E. ZAIKOV<sup>b,\*</sup>

a *Bashkirian State University, Ufa-450076, Frunze st. 32; N. M. Emanuet Institute* of *Biochemical Physics, Moscow-717339, Kosygin st, 4* 

*(Received 8 June 1998)* 

The theoretical evaluation of acid strength reactive fuels, through quantum-chemical calculation by the method CND0/2 of the charges on hydrogen atoms, which correlate with the universal index of acidity is presented. Correlations defining relationship of some parameters of combustion with the accounting parameters of acidic strength of the fuels are established to oxygen.

*Keywords:* Hydrogen atom charges; quantum chemical calculations; CNDO/2 method combustion parameters; reactive fuels

### **BACKGROUND**

The traditional fuels of liquid rockets are classical H-acids (Tab. **I).**  They must satisfy the whole line of demands: they must have high specific impulse, large density, they must be safe in handling, comfortable for storage and besides they must be cheap. Not a single fuel satisfies these demands. That's why one has to make a compromise depending on the priority of this or that demand [I].

Despite the considerable achievements in studying reactive fuels conduct, the role of acidic strength is still vague. The interest to the theoretical evaluation of the acidic strength of reactive fuels such as

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

Ν	Fuel	$-E0$ $kJ$ mole	$-E_{bond}$ kJ/mole	D. DB	$q_{H^+}^{max}$	$pK_a$ $[4 - 8]$	
1.	H <sub>2</sub>	3808	312	0.00	$+0.00$	$+45$	
2.	N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	68561	4345	0.28	$+0.05$	$+37$	
3.	$N_2H_2CH_3$	114564	10799	3.75	$+0.10$	$+27$	
4.	NH <sub>2</sub>	35998	2237	2.19	$+0.07$	$+33$	
5.	$C_6H_5NH_2$	153522	18179	1.71	$+0.076$	$+31$	
6.	$C_2H_5OH$	97690			$+0.13$	$+16$	
7.	$H_2O_2$	100484	2649	0.27	$+0.13$	$+16$	
8.	$B_2H_6$	33198	7365	0.47	$+0.22$	$+4.4$	

TABLE I Quantum-chemical features of the reactive fuel models

H-acids is a natural result in view of absence of safe experimental methods of the definition of acidity of this class of fuels as they have rather low acidic strength and corresponding indicators are absent. This evaluation can be done if the charges on hydrogen atoms arc calculated by quantum chemistry methods that are correlated with quantities of universal index of acidity. This strategy was successfully used for appreciation of a wide class of H-acids.

The aim of this work is the theoretic evaluation of acidic strength of reactive fuels: ethyl alcohol C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, hydrazine N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, ammonia  $NH_3$ , aniline  $C_6H_5NH_2$ , dimethyl-hydrazine  $H_2N_2(CH_3)_2$  and liquid hydrogen  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , as H-acids (Tab. I) and its correlation with classical parameters of fuels (temperatures of combustion, specific weight of fuels, specific impulse *etc.*). The establishment of correlation between them is important because it will be helpful both in finding new more efficient fuels and developing of the conception of the role of acidic strength in conduct of the above mentioned H-acids, as reactive fuels.

### **METHODOLOGY**

To calculate models of reactive fuels, we used the semi-empirical quantum-chemical CND0/2 method with Santri-Popla-Seagal parametrization which reproduces the charge characteristics best of all.

To analyze the results of quantum-chemical calculations, we used the following parameters:  $E_0$ , the total energy of the system;  $E_{\text{bon}}$ , the total bond energy; *D,* the dipole moment; *qA,* atomic charges; and some other.

Ν	Oxidizer	Fuel	φ	$T, \ ^{\circ}K$	$M_{burn}$	$\delta$	$J_{sp}, s$
1.		NH <sub>3</sub>	0.385	4292	19	1.15	306
2.	F <sub>2</sub>	$N_2H_4$	0.500	4555	19	1.30	316
3.		Н,	0.222	3030	8.9	0.32	374
4.	$H_2O_2$	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	0.250	2811	23	1.24	245
5.	99.6%	$N_2H_4$	0.625	2861	19	1.25	263
6.	$HNO3 +$	NH <sub>3</sub>	0.455	2655	21	1.12	239
7.	15% NO <sub>2</sub>	$N_2H_4$	0.769	3022	20	1.26	257
8.	$HNO3 +$	NH <sub>3</sub>	0.465	2600	21	1.12	237
9.	$22\%$ NO <sub>2</sub>	$N2H2(CH3)2$	0.385	3144	22	1.23	250
10.	$N_2O_4$	$N_2H_4$	0.909	3005	19	1.20	263
11.		NH <sub>3</sub>	0.476	2978	21	1.03	252
12.		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> OH	0.769	3116	23	0.99	248
13.		NH <sub>3</sub>	0.769	2988	19	0.88	266
14.	O <sub>2</sub>	$N_2H_2(CH_3)_2$	0.769	3289	19	0.96	276
15.		$N_2H_4$	1.429	3072	18	1.06	282
16.		H <sub>2</sub>	0.286	2755	9	0.26	364
17.	O <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	0.312	2944	8.3	0.26	393

TABLE **I1** Fuel **mixtures [I]** 

The charge densities of atoms were calculated to within  $\pm 0.02$  of the electron charge. The bond length between the atoms and angles were calculated with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.01$  nm and  $\pm 10^{\circ}$ , respectively.

The initial models of the reactive fuels were specified by the following set parameters: number of atoms (from 2 to 17), number of orbitals (from 2 to 80), total charge of the models  $\sum q_m = 0$ , and multiplicity  $M = 2S + 1$  *(S is the overall spin equal to zero for all the models,* hence  $M$  equals unity).

The total energy was minimized by gradient technique with the fragment optimization described in [2].

The calculations are performed assuming that the molecule is isolated in a gas phase. Such approximation is possible, since calculated quantum-chemical parameters of acidity  $(q_{H^+}, pK_{\alpha})$  are compared with the data (Tab. **11)** for some fuel mixtures received in supposition, that the process of combustion is adiabatic, gases are ideal, their expansion is non-entropic, their nozzle is ideal, and speed of dissociation is constant during its expansion [l].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Though CNDO/2 calculations of some models of reactive fuels  $(H_2,$  $N_2H_4$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $H_2O_2$ ,  $B_2H_6$ , *etc.* [3]) were performed, there were not systematized studies in this area. That is why it is necessary to analyze the results received and their systematization to study behaviour of reactive fuels in oxidation-reconstruction reactions further more detailed.

The quantum-chemical characteristics of models of reactive fuels are represented in Table **11,** and electronic structures and optimized geometries at all parameters are illustrated in Figure 1.

For hydrogen  $(H_2, Fig. 1a)$  the inter-nuclear distance is 0.076 nm (literary data 0.07414), the energy of bond is 312 kJ/mole, where as the energy of dissociation is 436 kJ/mole. The maximum charge on hydro-



**FIGURE** I Electronic structure and optimized geometry of models of reactive fuels: hydrogen (a), hydrazine (b), dimethyl-hydrazine (c), ammonia (d), aniline (e), ethanol (f), hydrogen peroxide **(g)** and diboron (h).

gen atom  $q_{H^+}$  is equal to 0, which corresponds to pKa = 45 (Tab. I). The boiling point is  $-183^{\circ}$ C. Out of all considered fuels H<sub>2</sub> possesses the minimum acidic strength, but herewith out of all oxidizers its specific impulse  $J_{\rm SD}$  is maximum. One of the properties of the liquid hydrogen is that it has the minimum temperature of combustion and the minimum molecular weight of the products of combustion. But in consequence of very small specific weight of hydrogen, as well as needed to careful thermoinsulating the tanks the constructive attitude in hydrogen rockets is below than in rockets using organic combustible once. Hydrogen is dangerous in use and less suitable in keeping.

Hydrazine ( $N_2H_4$ , diamid, Fig. 1b). The maximum charge of the hydrogen atom of diamid  $q_{H^+} = +0.05$  and the value of universal acidity index pK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = 37 testify to N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> possessing rather low acidic strength, as a reactive fuel characterized by analogy  $H<sub>2</sub>$  by rather high values of specific impulses  $J_{\rm{sp}}$ . Hydrazine is a rather wide spread type of fuel and it is usually used with nitric acid. The shortcoming of  $N_2H_4$  is poisonous smoke, forming during burning.

Asymmetric dimethyl-hydrazine  $(N_2H_4CH_3)_2$ , Fig. 1c). The model is derived from the hydrazine. Parameters of acidity are  $q_{H^+} = +0.10$ and  $pK_{\alpha} = 27$ . The specific impulse value of dimethyl-hydrazine is less differed from specific impulse hydrazine.

Ammonia ( $NH_3$ , Fig. 1d). The molecule has a form of a proper pyramid. The bonds N—H are polar. The charges  $q_{H^+}$  owing to good symmetry are identical on hydrogen atoms and are equal to  $+0.07$ on each one. The existence of the molecule  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is warranted by rather considerable quantities  $E_0 = -35998 \text{ kJ/mole}$  and  $E_{\text{bon}} =$  $-2237 \text{ kJ/mole}$ . Ammonia is rather weak-acidic reactive fuel juidging by the charges on hydrogen atoms and by meaning of  $pK_{\alpha} = 33$ .

Aniline  $(C_6H_5NH_2,$  Fig. 1e). Maximum positive charge on hydrogen atom in aniline is concentrated on H-atoms of  $NH_2$ -group  $- q_{H^+} =$  $+0.08$ . The molecule is stable since it has the following meaning of the energy  $E_0 = -153522 \text{ kJ/mole}$  and  $E_{\text{bond}} = -18179 \text{ kJ/mole}$ . The meaning  $pK_{\alpha} = +31$  show that  $C_6H_5NH_2$  is a rather weak H-acid.

Ethyl alcohol ( $C_2H_5OH$ , Fig. 1f). It possesses minimum specific impulse, the low value of acidity  $pK_\alpha = 16$  and rather high value of charge  $q_{H^+} = 0.13$  out of all considered fuels. It is interesting that addition of 25% water relatively little decreases specific impulse. The optimum mixture of ethyl alcohol, water and oxygen can have specific impulse, which is near toward theoretical value, when fuel does not consist of water. Such fuel mixture is relatively safe in turning, suitable for keeping, has rather low specific weight and is not much expensive.

Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Fig. 1g). It is weak acid pK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = +11.6 (in H<sub>2</sub>O, 20°C), pK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = +16 (calculation CNDO/2 in gas phase, 273°C). As one-component fuel  $H_2O_2$  in the line of reactive fuels is characterized by rather low meaning of specific impulse  $- J_{\rm{sp}}$ , and quite independent on the nature of oxidizer (Tab. **11).** 

Diboron  $(B_2H_6, Fig. 1h)$ . This model is characterized by the following meanings  $E_0 = -33198 \text{ kJ/mole}$ ,  $E_{\text{bond}} = -7365 \text{ kJ/mole}$  which testifies to rather high stability of diboron. Maximum charge on atom of hydrogen is  $q_{\text{H}^+} = +0.22$  and according to correlative dependence  $pK_{\alpha}(q_{H^+})$  [4]  $pKa(B_2H_6) = +4.4$ . Enthalpy of  $B_2H_6$  consumption considerably exceeds enthalpy of combustion, that is why diboron  $(B_5H_9$ pentaboron and  $B_{10}H_{14}$  – decaboron as well), are of great interest as long-range highly effective rocket fuel. Their wide usage however is restrained by complexity of production and extreme toxicity.

The performed quantum-chemical calculation of models of rocket fuels by classical semi-empirical CND0/2 method in Santry-Poplar-Seagal parametrization with optimization in all parameters by gradient method in approaching of an isolated molecule in gas phase allowed to find optimum geometry and electronic structures (Fig. 1) and appreciate their acidic strength. The analysis of quantum-chemical parameters of acidity  $(q_{H^+}, pK_\alpha)$  of models testifies to the that all fuels are rather weak acidic H-acids. Maximum charges of the hydrogen atoms of fuels changes in rather wide range  $0 \leq q_{\text{H}+} \leq +0.22$ , and according to [4] the range of meanings of universal indexes of acidity is  $+4.4 \le$  $pK_{\alpha} \leq 45$ . Liquid H-hydrogen is characterized by minimum meaning  $q_{H^+} = 0$ , diboron – by maximum one –  $q_{H^+} = +0.22$ . By analogy, reactive fuel diboron possesses the greatest acidity -  $pK_\alpha$  = +4.4, liquid hydrogen possesses the lowest acidity  $-pK_0 = 45$ . It should be marked that the following dependence is observed in the line of rocket fuels under investigation:  $H_2$ ,  $N_2H_4$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $C_2H_5NH_2$ ,  $N_2H_2(CH_3)_2$ ,  $OHC_2H_5$ ,  $H_2O_2$ ,  $B_2H_6$ . At decreasing of maximum charge  $q_{H^+}$  on hydrogen atom of reactive fuels corresponds the increasing of their acidic strength (Tab. **I).** Besides that, the performed calculation of models and comparison of the received results with the data in Table **11** proves possible existence of correlative dependencies of acidic strength of fuels on their physical and chemical parameters. Which are: specific impulse  $J_{\rm so} = c/g$  (where c is speed of gases outflow, in m/s;  $g$  – the acceleration of free fall, in m/s<sup>2</sup>);  $\delta$  – specific weight of fuel mixtures;  $T$  – the temperature of combustion,  ${}^{\circ}K$ ;  $\varphi = W_{\text{comb}}/W_{\text{oxid}}$  - ratio of fuel weight to the weight of oxidizer. With great trust-worthiness one can observe correlative dependence  $pK_{\alpha}$  (and this corresponds to the decrease of maximum charge on hydrogen atom  $- q_{H^+}$  and acidic strength of rocket fuels), specific impulse  $-J_{\text{sp}}$  increase (Fig. 2). And by this  $\varphi$  and *T* varied in rather wide ranges:  $0.286 \leq \varphi \leq 1.429$ , 2755°K  $\leq$  $T \leq 3522^{\circ}$ K. Liquid hydrogen at  $q_{H^{+}} = 0$  possesses the maximum specific impulse. By that the temperature of combustion  $H_2$  is  $T$ ,  $\delta$  – specific weight of fuel,  $\varphi$ -ratio of fuel weight to the weight of oxidizer and  $M_{\text{burn}}$  – molecular weight of combustion products (that is very important from ecological point of view) are minimum. And it doesn't depend on the nature of oxidizer. Besides  $H_2$  by known enumerated parameters - *T*,  $\delta$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $M_{\text{burn}}$ ,  $J_{\text{sp}}$  and calculated by quantum-chemical  $pK_{\alpha}$ ,  $q_{H^+}$  is twice or sometimes thrice as large as the nearest fuel, for example,  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ . That is to say, from the point of view of quantum chemistry  $H_2$  is one of the best liquid reactive fuels.

The following correlations are established to oxygen (Fig. 2):

$$
J_{\rm sp} = J_{\rm sp,0}[0.99 - 4.78(q_{\rm H^{+}}) + 19.52(q_{\rm H^{+}})^{2}]; \quad R = 0.971;
$$

 $J_{\rm sp} = J_{\rm sp,0} [0, 9 - 0, 02(\text{pK}_{\alpha}) + 0, 0005(\text{pK}_{\alpha})^2]; \quad R = 0.957;$ 

Here: *R* is the coefficient of correlation;  $J_{sp,0} = 364$  (s) - the maximum specific impulse.

Other parameters  $(D -$  the dipole moments;  $E_0$  – the total energy;  $E_{\text{bond}}$  – the energy of bonds;  $\delta$  – specific weight of fuel mixtures;  $T$  – temperature of combustion;  $\varphi$  - ratio of fuel weight to the weight of oxidizer;  $M_{\text{burn}}$  – average molecular weight of burning products;) do not give satisfactory correlations. Even received correlations are not uniquely defined of the given dependence. For example: correlation  $J_{\rm{sp}}(q_{\rm{H}})$  gives an increase of specific impulse from negative charge of hydrogen atom in molecule, but it is known that liquid hydrogen possesses maximum impulse from  $q_{H^+} = 0$ . That is why these corre*lations* can be used only at interval of charges on hydrogen atom from



FIGURE 2 Correlative dependences of maximum hydrogen charge  $q_{H^+}$  and universal index of acidity pKa on specific impulse  $J_{sp}$ .

+ 0.0 to + 0.18. And the expedient interest **is** to define more general functional dependence of combustion parameters from parameters characterizing construction of materials and parameters of acidity.

### *References*

[1] Fertregt, M. (1969). Osnovy kosmonavtiki, p. 300.

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

- [2] Pople, J. A. and Beveridje, D., An Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970), p. 214.
- [3] Bolshakov, G. F. (1983). Khimia i tekhnologia komponentov zgidkogo raketnogo topliva.  $-$  L., Khimia, p. 320.
- [4] Grabowski, **W** , Misono, M. and Yoneda, **Y. I.,** *J. Card.* 180, **61,** 103.
- [5] Minsker, K. S., Babkin, V. **A.** and Zaikov, G. E., Russian Chemical Reviews 1994, T.64, N3., **p.** 27.
- [6] Minsker, K. **S.,** Babkin, V. A. and Zaikov, G. E. (1994). *Usp. khim.,* B.63, N3., p. 289.
- *[7]* Khimicheskay enciklopedia M. (1992). Bolshay rossiyskay enciklopedia, Vol. 1 ~ 3.
- [8] Khimicheskay enciklopedia M. (1972). Sovetskay enciklopedia, Vol. 3, pp. <sup>474</sup>- 475.